## PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Eiserman

DOCKET NO.: 05-25490.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 18-04-123-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Eiserman, the appellant, by attorney Mary Nicolau of Smith, Davies & Nicolau of Chicago and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 107-year-old, class 2-12, two-story, four-apartment and one commercial unit building of masonry construction containing 4,156 square feet of building area and located in Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject property includes four full and one half bathrooms, a full basement and air conditioning.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the PTAB claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant offered five suggested comparable class 2-12 properties located within three miles of the subject. These properties consist of two-story apartment/commercial buildings. The comparables contain between 3,600 and 4,410 square feet of building area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$30,405 to \$41,767 or from \$8.35 to \$9.80 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$42,056, or \$10.12 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review offered three suggested comparable properties located within a block of the subject. The comparables consist of masonry, two-story buildings, with two or four apartments and each building has a commercial unit. The comparables range in age from 93 to 122 years, have partial basements and two are air conditioned. They have one, two or three bathrooms with some half baths. The

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds  $\underline{no\ change}$  in the assessment of the property as established by the  $\underline{COOK}$  County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 5,116 IMPR. \$42,056 TOTAL: \$47,172

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG. 6/08

comparable properties range in size from 3,250 to 4,260 square feet of building area with improvement assessments ranging from \$35,105 to \$41,767 or from \$9.80 to \$10.80 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.

The PTAB finds the board's three comparables are similar to the subject with some adjustments for unit numbers and building size to be considered. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$9.80 to \$10.80 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$10.12 is within this range of properties. The PTAB gives less weight to the appellant's comparables because they lack the descriptive data necessary to make an effective comparison of properties and thus these comparables have less influence. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested properties when compared to the subject property, the PTAB finds the evidence is insufficient to effect a change in the subject's assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB finds the appellant did not adequately demonstrate that the subject apartment/commercial building was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted. Member

Member

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Thula Shuf

Member

Shilling Karabi

DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 27, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A  $\frac{\text{PETITION AND EVIDENCE}}{\text{30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.$ 

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.